IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.32140 of 2008
****

1. **ABHAY KUMAR, S/o Late Sheo Sharan Prasad, resident of Village – Sindur Siyari, P.S. Sadar Hazaribagh, District Hazaribagh (Jharkhand), the then Secretary, Head office – Gram Nirman Mandal Khadi Gramodyog Samiti, P.O. – Buniyadganj, P.S. Mufasil, District – Gaya,**
2. **Sheo Vachan Prasad, S/o Late Tulsi Yadav, resident of Village Akal Bigha, P.S. Buniyadganj, District – Gaya,**
3. **Shital Singh, S/o Dhaneshwar Singh, resident of Village – Shokhodeora, P.S. Kauwaakol, District – Nawada,**
4. **Bachchu Narayan Singh, S/o Harihar Singh, resident of Village – Kurkuhar, P.S. Wazirganj, District – Gaya,**
5. **Janki Prasad, S/o Sheo Prasad, Village – Simariya, P.S. Phatehpur, District – Gaya,**
6. **Kameshwar Singh, S/o Late Ram Karan Singh, resident of Village Kadhauna, P.S. Wazirganj, District – Gaya,**
7. **Pawas Kumar Singh @ Pawas Kumar, S/o Kameshwar Singh, resident of Village Khadsari, P.S. Kauwakol, District - Nawada,**
8. **Harishchandra Paswan, S/o Late Ramdhari Paswan, resident of Village Koriyam, P.O. Prasadi English, District – Jehanabad,**
9. **Ramesh Pandit, S/o Jagdeo Pandit, resident of Village Pachlakh, P.S. Khijirsarai, District – Gaya,and**
10. **Radhe Krishna Ram, S/o Badri Ram, resident of Village – Alpa, P.S. Konch, District – Gaya.**

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

3. 12.11.2008. Heard.

The complaint discloses that Complaint Case No. 170 of 2005 has been filed against the husband of the complainant. In sum and substance, the complainant is

contending in the present complaint that the husband of the complainant is traceless since 6th July, 2004 and when she approached the petitioners, who are colleagues of her husband, a rangdari tax of Rs. Fifty thousand was demanded when it was also held out that unless such tax is paid she will not be informed the whereabouts of her husband and her husband shall also be removed from his services for the purpose of supplying the vacancy to be caused by a person belonging to higher caste. Complaint Case No. 170 of 2005 has been filed by the employer of the husband of the complainant where it has been alleged that the husband of the complainant has defalcated large sums of monies belonging to the employer of the husband of the complainant.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Considering the said state of affair, the application is allowed.

In the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioners within six weeks from today, let the petitioners, Abhay Kumar, Sheo Vachan Prasad, Shital Singh, Bachchu Narayan Singh, Janki Prasad, Kameshwar Singh, Pawas Kumar Singh @ Pawas Kumar, Harishchandra Paswan, Ramesh Pandit and Radhe Krishna Ram be released on bail

on their furnishing bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gaya, in connection with S.C./S.T. Gaya P.S. Case No. 11 of 2005 dated 30.7.2005, G.R. No. 1810/05, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Barin Ghosh, J.)

dk

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL